arXiv:0712.2893v1 [math-ph] 18 Dec 2007

Extended Thermodynamics for dense gases and macromolecular fluids, obtained through a non relativistic limit

M.C. Carrisi, M.A. Mele, S. Pennisi

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica, Università di Cagliari, Via Ospedale 72, 09124 Cagliari, Italy; e-mail: cristina.carrisi@tiscali.it; spennisi@unica.it

Abstract

In this paper we consider the 14 moments model of Extended Thermodynamics for dense gases and macromolecular fluids. Solutions of the restrictions imposed by the entropy principle and that of Galilean relativity for such a model have been until now obtained in literature only in an approximate manner up to a certain order with respect to thermodynamic equilibrium; for more restrictive models they have been obtained up to whatever order, but by using Taylor expansions around equilibrium and without proving convergence. Here we have found an exact solution without using expansions. The idea has been to write firstly a relativistic model, for which it is easy to impose the Einsteinian relativity principle, and then taking its non relativistic limit.

1 Introduction

The 14 moments model of Extended Thermodynamics for dense gases and macromolecular fluids was firstly studied by Kremer in [1], up to second order with respect to equilibrium. The balance equations to describe this model are

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t F + \partial_k F_k = 0\,, \qquad \partial_t F_i + \partial_k G_{ki} = 0\,, \quad \partial_t F_{ij} + \partial_k G_{kij} = P_{<ij>}\,, \\ &\partial_t F_{ill} + \partial_k G_{kill} = P_{ill}\,, \quad \partial_t F_{iill} + \partial_k G_{kiill} = P_{iill}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the independent variables are F, F_i, F_{ij}, F_{ill}, F_{iill} and are symmetric tensors. $P_{<ij>}$, P_{ill}, P_{iill} are productions and they too are symmetric tensors.The fluxes G_{ki}, G_{kij}, G_{kill}, G_{kiill} are constitutive functions and are symmetric over all indexes, except for k.

In ideal gases, we have also the conditions $G_{ki} = F_{ki}$, $G_{ill} = F_{ill}$, $G_{iill} = F_{iill}$ and, moreover, G_{kij} and G_{kill} are symmetric over all couples of indexes; then the present case is less restrictive.

We want that our system (1) is a symmetric hyperbolic one, with all consequent nice mathematical properties. To this end, we impose the entropy principle; in the next section it will be proved that it is equivalent to the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda}, \quad F_i = \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_i}, \quad F_{il} = \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_{il}}, \\
F_{ill} &= \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_{ill}}, \quad F_{iill} = \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_{iill}}\,, \\
\\
G_k &= \frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda}, \quad G_{ki} = \frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda_i}, \quad G_{kil} = \frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda_{il}}, \\
G_{kill} &= \frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda_{ill}}, \quad G_{kiill} = \frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda_{iill}}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where we have taken into account the definition $G_k = F_k$, from which the following compatibility conditions holds

$$\frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_k}\,. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, also in the next section, it will be proved that the Galilean relativity principle is equivalent to the following two other conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda}\lambda_i + 2\lambda_{ij}\frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_j} + \lambda_{jpp}\left(\frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_{rs}}\delta_{rs}\delta_{ij} + 2\frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_{ij}}\right) + 4\lambda_{ppqq}\frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_{ill}} \\
0 &= \frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda}\lambda_i + 2\lambda_{ij}\frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda_j} + \lambda_{jpp}\left(\frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda_{rs}}\delta_{rs}\delta_{ij} + 2\frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda_{ij}}\right) + 4\lambda_{ppqq}\frac{\partial \phi'_k}{\partial \lambda_{ill}} + h'\delta_{ik}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In other words, we have to found h' and ϕ'_k satisfying the equations (3) and (4); after that, $(2)_{1-5}$ are useful to obtain λ, λ_i, λ_{ij}, λ_{ill}, λ_{iill} as functions of our independent variables F, F_i, F_{ij}, F_{ill}, F_{iill}. Lastly, $(2)_{7-10}$ will give the constitutive functions G_{ki}, G_{kij}, G_{kill}, G_{kiill}.
Now, the restrictions (3) and (4) have been imposed by Kremer in [1] only up to secondo order with respect to equilibrium. In [2] Carrisi and Pennisi have imposed them up to whatever order (for the more restrictive model of ideal gases), but by using Taylor' s expansions around equilibrium, without worrying about convergence problems. Here we have found the exact solution without using expansions. The idea to obtain this result has been the following

- Firstly we have assumed a relativistic model for which it is easy to impose the Einsteinian relativity principle; the results for this model can be found in section 3;

- After that, we have shown, in sect. 4, how to take the non relativistic limit of the model in sect.3; to this end we have used a methodology which is easy to find for ideal gases because in this case we have suggestions from the kinetic theory of gases; we have adopted this methodology also for our more general case. Obvious, the validity of this assumption has to be tested at the end by verifying that our results satisfy truly the eqs. (3) and (4). We will be obtain that eqs. (4) are identically satisfied. Instead of this, the condition (3) will have still to be imposed; it is the only condition for which there is no correspondence between the relativistic case and the classical one.

- Then, in sect. 5 we have taken effectively this limit and found that h' and ϕ'_k are determined by the following eqs. (5) and (6), except for 4 scalar arbitrary functions H_0, H_1, H_2, H_3 which depend on the scalars (7).

There remain the further condition (3), the requirement of convexity for the function h' and the problem of subsystems. We have exploited them, but don' report here the results, for the sake of brevity. We assure only that we have found the exact solution of (3) without using expansions.
We close this section by reporting the results of sect. 5; in this way it will be not necessary to search them throughout the paper and they will be available for the applications. They are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'^k &= H_0 V_0^k + H_1 V_1^k + H_2 V_2^k + H_3 V_3^k \, , \\ h' &= 8 H_0 X_1 - H_1 X_2 - \frac{2}{3} H_2 X_3 - \frac{1}{2} H_3 X_4 \, , \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} V_0^k &= -2\lambda_{kll} \\ V_1^k &= -2\lambda_{kh}\lambda_{hll} + 4\lambda_{ppll}\lambda_k + \frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{kll} \\ V_2^k &= -2\lambda_{kh}^2\lambda_{hll} + \frac{6}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ka}\lambda_{all} + 4\lambda_{ka}\lambda_a\lambda_{ppll} + \\ &- \frac{11}{25}\lambda_{ll}^2\lambda_{kll} - \lambda_{kll}\lambda_a\lambda_{all} + \lambda_k\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} + \\ &+ (tr\lambda_{ab}^2)\lambda_{kll} - \frac{12}{5}\lambda_{ppll}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_k \\ V_3^k &= 2\lambda_{ppll}\left(2\lambda_{kh}^2\lambda_h - tr\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_k - \frac{8}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ka}\lambda_a + \frac{17}{25}\lambda_{ll}^2\lambda_k\right) + \\ &+ (\lambda_{kh}\lambda_h)(\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all}) - \frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}(\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all})\lambda_k - \frac{17}{25}\lambda_{ll}^2\lambda_{ka}\lambda_{all} + \\ &- (\lambda_a\lambda_{all})\lambda_{kb}\lambda_{bll} + (tr\lambda_{ab}^2)\lambda_{kc}\lambda_{cll} + \frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}(\lambda_a\lambda_{all})\lambda_{kll} + \\ &+ \frac{8}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{kh}^2\lambda_{hll} + \frac{74}{375}\lambda_{ll}^3\lambda_{kll} - \frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}(tr\lambda_{ab}^2)\lambda_{kll} + (\lambda_{ab}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll})\lambda_k + \\ &- (\lambda_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_{bll})\lambda_{kll} + \frac{2}{3}(tr\lambda_{ab}^3)\lambda_{kll} - 2\lambda_{kh}^3\lambda_{hll} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \lambda_{ppll} \, , \\ X_2 &= 2\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} - \frac{16}{5}\lambda_{ppll}\lambda_{ll} \, , \\ X_3 &= 8\lambda_{ppll}\left(\frac{11}{50}\lambda_{ll}^2 - \frac{1}{2}tr\lambda_{ab}^2\right) + 2\lambda_{ab}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll} - \frac{6}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} \, , \\ X_4 &= 2\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll} - tr\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_{cll}\lambda_{cll} - \frac{8}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ab}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll} + \\ &\quad + \frac{17}{25}\lambda_{ll}^2\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} + 8\lambda_{ppll}\left(-\frac{37}{375}\lambda_{ll}^3 + \frac{2}{5}\lambda_{ll}(tr\lambda_{ab}^2) - \frac{1}{3}tr\lambda_{ab}^3\right) \, , \\ X_5 &= -\frac{2}{5}\lambda_{ll}^2 + 16\lambda_{ppll}\Lambda - 4\lambda_a\lambda_{all} + 2tr\lambda_{ab}^2 \, , \\ X_6 &= 4\Lambda\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} + 8\lambda_{ppll}\left(-\frac{4}{5}\Lambda\lambda_{ll} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a\lambda_a\right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{8}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{all}\lambda_a - \frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}tr\lambda_{ab}^2 + \frac{8}{75}\lambda_{ll}^3 - 4\lambda_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_{bll} + \frac{4}{3}tr\lambda_{ab}^3 \, , \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
X_7 &= \frac{8}{15}(tr\lambda_{ab}^3)\lambda_{ll} - \frac{14}{25}\lambda_{ll}^2 tr\lambda_{ab}^2 + \frac{46}{375}\lambda_{ll}^4 + 4\Lambda\lambda_{ab}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll} + \\
&+2(tr\lambda_{ab}^2)\lambda_c\lambda_{cll} - (\lambda_a\lambda_{all})^2 - \frac{12}{5}\Lambda\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} + \\
&+(\lambda_a\lambda_a)(\lambda_{bll}\lambda_{bll}) - 4\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_{all}\lambda_b + \\
&-8\lambda_{ppll}\left(\Lambda tr\lambda_{ab}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_b - \frac{11}{25}\Lambda\lambda_{ll}^2 + \frac{3}{10}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_a\lambda_a\right) + \\
&+\frac{12}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_{bll} - \frac{22}{25}\lambda_{ll}^2\lambda_a\lambda_{all}\,. \\
X_8 &= -\frac{34}{25}\lambda_{ll}^2\lambda_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_{bll} + 2(tr\lambda_{ab}^2)\lambda_{cd}\lambda_c\lambda_{dll} + \frac{16}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_a\lambda_{bll} + \\
&+\frac{148}{375}\lambda_{ll}^3\lambda_a\lambda_{all} - \frac{8}{5}\lambda_{ll}(tr\lambda_{ab}^2)\lambda_c\lambda_{cll} + \frac{4}{3}(tr\lambda_{ab}^3)\lambda_c\lambda_{cll} - 4\lambda_{ab}^3\lambda_a\lambda_{bll} + \\
&+2\lambda_{ppll}\left(2\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_a\lambda_b - (tr\lambda_{cd}^2)\lambda_a\lambda_a - \frac{8}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_b + \frac{17}{25}\lambda_{ll}^2\lambda_a\lambda_a\right) + \\
&+(\lambda_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_b)(\lambda_{cll}\lambda_{cll}) - \frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}(\lambda_a\lambda_a)(\lambda_{bll}\lambda_{bll}) - 2(\lambda_a\lambda_{all})(\lambda_{bc}\lambda_b\lambda_{cll}) + \\
&+\frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}(\lambda_a\lambda_{all})^2 + (\lambda_a\lambda_a)(\lambda_{bc}\lambda_{bll}\lambda_{cll}) + \\
&+4\Lambda\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll} - 2\Lambda tr\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_{cll}\lambda_{cll} - \frac{16}{5}\Lambda\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ab}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll} + \\
&+\frac{34}{25}\Lambda\lambda_{ll}^2\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} + 16\Lambda\lambda_{ppll}\left(-\frac{37}{375}\lambda_{ll}^3 + \frac{2}{5}\lambda_{ll}(tr\lambda_{ab}^2) - \frac{1}{3}tr\lambda_{ab}^3\right) + \\
&+\frac{4}{75}\lambda_{ll}^2(tr\lambda_{ab}^3) - \frac{8}{125}\lambda_{ll}^3(tr\lambda_{ab}^2) + \frac{4}{15}\cdot\frac{37}{625}\lambda_{ll}^5\,.
\end{aligned}$$

It is interesting that ϕ'^k has been determined except for 4 scalar functions H_0, H_1, H_2, H_3. Instead of this, if we have used only the representation theorems without imposing the entropy principle and the Galilean invariance, we would have obtained that ϕ'^k was depending on 6 arbitrary scalar functions, being a linear combination of λ_{kll}, $\lambda_{kh}\lambda_{hll}$, $\lambda_{ka}^2\lambda_{all}$, λ_k, $\lambda_{ka}\lambda_a$, $\lambda_{ka}^2\lambda_a$. We note that also h' is determined in terms of H_0,H_1, H_2, H_3. These are arbitrary functions of the 8 scalars X_1 - X_8. Instead of this, if we have used only the representation theorems without imposing the entropy principle and the Galilean invariance, we would have obtained that all the scalar function are arbitrary functions of the following 14 scalars λ_{ll}, $tr\lambda_{ab}^2$, $tr\lambda_{ab}^3$, $\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all}$, $\lambda_{all}\lambda_a$, $\lambda_a\lambda_a$, $\lambda_{ab}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll}$, $\lambda_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_{bll}$, $\lambda_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_b$, $\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll}$, $\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_a\lambda_{bll}$, $\lambda_{ab}^2\lambda_a\lambda_b$, λ_{ppll}, Λ .

It is useful now to verify these results: To this end we can substitute eqs. (5), (6) and (7) into (4) and obtain that they are identically satisfied. The corresponding calculations are long, so it will be useful to subdivide them with the following steps.

- Firstly we can verify that $(4)_1$ is satisfied with X_i instead of h', for $i = 1, \cdots, 8$; consequently, for the theorem on derivation of composite functions, it will be satisfied by whatever function of X_i, as h' is. But we have to note that, if we simplify X_8 through the Hamilton-Kayley theorem

$$\lambda_{ab}^3 = \lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ab}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(tr\lambda_{cd}^2 - \lambda_{ll}^2\right)\lambda_{ab} + \left(\frac{1}{3}tr\lambda_{cd}^3 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ll}tr\lambda_{cd}^2 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda_{ll}^3\right)\delta_{ab}$$

it will become more complicate to verify that X_8 is a solution, because it will be necessary also to use the identity

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta_{ij}\left(-\lambda^2_{ab}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll} + \lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ab}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} tr\lambda^2_{cd} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all}\lambda^2_{ll}\right) + \\ &\quad +\lambda_{ij}\left(-\lambda_{ab}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll} + \lambda_{ll}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all}\right) - \lambda^2_{ij}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} + \lambda_{ill}\lambda_{jll}\,\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2_{ll} - tr\lambda^2_{cd}) + \\ &\quad -2\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ll(i}\lambda_{j)b}\lambda_{bll} + \lambda_{ia}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{jb}\lambda_{bll} + 2\lambda_{ll(i}\lambda^2_{j)b}\lambda_{bll} \end{aligned}$$

which can be easily proved in the reference frame where λ_{ab} has the diagonal form.

- The second step is to verify that $(4)_2$ is satisfied in the case $H_0 = 1$, $H_1 = 0$, $H_2 = 0$, $H_3 = 0$. Similarly for the case $H_0 = 0$, $H_1 = 1$, $H_2 = 0$, $H_3 = 0$; then for the case $H_0 = 0$, $H_1 = 0$, $H_2 = 1$, $H_3 = 0$ and, lastly, for the case $H_0 = 0$, $H_1 = 0$, $H_2 = 0$, $H_3 = 1$. Consequently, it will be satisfied for all constant values of H_0, H_1, H_2, H_3. After that it is satisfied also in the general case: In fact, for the property on derivation of a product, the terms where H_0, H_1, H_2, H_3 are not differentiated will simplify, for what above said, and it remains

$$\sum_{j=0}^{3} V_j^k \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{8} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial X_i}$$

for the right hand side of $(4)_1$ written with X_i instead of h'; the result is zero for what already verified in the first step.

2 The principles of entropy and of Galilean relativity

We want that our system (1) is symmetric and hyperbolic, with all the consequent nice mathematical properties. To this end we impose that all the solution of eqs. (1) satisfy also the entropy inequality

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_k} = \sigma \geq 0.$$

This is equivalent to assume the existence of Lagrange Multipliers λ, λ_i, λ_{ij}, λ_{ill}, λ_{iill} such that

$$\begin{aligned} dh &= \lambda dF + \lambda_i dF_i + \lambda_{ij} dF^{ij} + \lambda_{ill} dF^{ill} + \lambda_{iill} dF^{iill}\,, \\ d\phi_k &= \lambda dF_k + \lambda_i dG_{ik} + \lambda_{ij} dG_{ijk} + \lambda_{ill} dG_{illk} + \lambda_{iill} dG_{iillk} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

besides a residual inequality which we leave for the sake of brevity. The Lagrange Multipliers are also called "mean field". Let us now impose the Galilean relativity principle by considering the following change of independent variables

$$F_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} m_{(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} v_{i_{k+1} \dots i_n)} \tag{9}$$

which can be found in [3] and that, applied to our case, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F &= m, \qquad (10) \\ F_i &= m v_i + m_i, \\ F_{ij} &= m v_i v_j + m_{ij} + 2 m_{(i} v_{j)}\,, \\ F_{ill} &= m_{ill} + m_{ll} v_i + 2 m_{il} v_l + m v^2 v_i + m_i v^2 + 2 m_l v_i v_l\,, \\ F_{iill} &= m_{iill} + m v^4 + 4 m_i v_i v^2 + 2 m_{ii} v^2 + 4 m_{il} v_i v_l + 4 m_{iil} v_l. \end{aligned}$$

Also in [3] we can find how change the constitutive functions $G_{ki_1\cdots i_n}$ when the reference frame changes, i.e.,

$$H_{ki_1i_2\cdots i_n} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} M_{k(i_1\cdots i_j} v_{i_{j+1}\cdots i_n)} \tag{11}$$

where the functions $H_{ki_1\cdots i_n}$ are defined by

$$G_{ki_1\cdots i_n} = v_k F_{i_1\cdots i_n} + H_{ki_1\cdots i_n}. \tag{12}$$

It is interesting that (11) looks like (9), except that they don' t act on the index k. In our particular case, eqs. (11) become

$$\begin{aligned}
H_k &= M_k \, , \\
H_{ki} &= M_k v_i + M_{ki} \, , \\
H_{kij} &= M_k v_i v_j + 2M_{k(i} v_{j)} + M_{kij} \, , \\
H_{kill} &= M_k v_i v^2 + M_{ki} v^2 + 2M_{kl} v_i v_l + 2M_{kil} v_l + M_{kll} v_i + M_{kill} \, , \\
H_{kiill} &= M_k v^4 + 4M_{ki} v_i v^2 + 2M_{kii} v^2 + 4M_{kil} v_l v_i + 4M_{kiil} v_l + \\
&+ M_{kiill} \qquad\qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the functions $G_{ki_1\cdots i_n}$ transform as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{ki} &= m v_i v_k + m_i v_k + M_k v_i + M_{ki} \, , \qquad (14) \\
G_{kij} &= m v_i v_j v_k + m_{ij} v_k + 2m_{(i} v_{j)} v_k + M_k v_i v_j + 2M_{k(i} v_{j)} + M_{kij} \, , \\
G_{kill} &= m_{ill} v_k + m_{ll} v_i v_k + 2m_{il} v_l v_k + m v^2 v_i v_k + m_i v^2 v_k + \\
&+ 2m_l v_i v_l v_k + M_{ki} v^2 + 2M_{kl} v_i v_l + M_{kll} v_i + 2M_{kil} v_l + \\
&+ M_k v_i v^2 + M_{kill}, \\
G_{kiillkk} &= m_{iill} v_k + m v^4 v_k + 4m_i v_i v^2 v_k + 2m_{ii} v^2 v_k + 4m_{il} v_i v_l v_k + \\
&+ 4m_{iil} v_l v_k + M_k v^4 + 4M_{ki} v_i v^2 + 2M_{kii} v^2 + 4M_{kil} v_i v_l + \\
&+ 4M_{kiil} v_l + M_{kiill}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that from (12) and (11), for $n = 0$, and from $G_k = F_k$ it follows $M_k = F_k - F v_k$. This and $(10)_{1,2}$ yields $M_k = m_k$. The new variables m, m_i, m_{ij}, m_{ill}, m_{iill} and M_i, M_{ij}, M_{kij}, M_{kill}, M_{kiill} have the same simmetries of $F_{i_1\ldots i_n}$ and $G_{ki_1\ldots i_n}$.
Let us now substitute into eqs. (8) the expressions which we have above found for the variables and the constitutive functions. In this way eqs. (8) become

$$\begin{aligned}
dh &= \lambda^I dm + \lambda^I_i dm_i + \lambda^I_{ij} dm_{ij} + \lambda^I_{ill} dm_{ill} + \\
&+ \lambda^I_{iill} dm_{iill} + (\lambda^I_i m + 2\lambda^I_{ij} m_j + \lambda^I_{jll} m_{ll} \delta_{ij} + \\
&+ 2\lambda^I_{jll} m_{ij} + 4\lambda^I_{ppqq} m_{ill}) dv_i \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\phi_k) &= (\lambda^I dm + \lambda^I_i dm_i + \lambda^I_{ij} dm_{ij} + \lambda^I_{ill} dm_{ill} \\
&+ \lambda^I_{iill} dm_{iill}) v_k + \lambda^I dM_k + \lambda^I_i dM_{ki} + \lambda^I_{il} dM_{kil} + \\
&+ \lambda^I_{ill} dM_{kill} + \lambda^I_{iill} dM_{kiill} + (\lambda^I m + \lambda^I_i mi + \lambda^I_{ij} m_{ij} \\
&+ \lambda^I_{ill} m_{ill} + \lambda^I_{ppqq} m_{iill}) dv_k + (\lambda^I_i M_k + 2\lambda^I_{ij} M_{kj} \\
&+ \lambda^I_{ipp} M_{kll} + 2\lambda^I_{lpp} M_{kil} + 4\lambda^I_{ppqq} M_{kill}) dv_i, \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^I &= \lambda + \lambda_i v_i + \lambda_{ij} v_i v_j + \lambda_{ill} v_i v^2 + \lambda_{ppqq} v^4 \,, \\
\lambda_i^I &= \lambda_i + 2\lambda_{ij} v_j + \lambda_{ill} v^2 + 2\lambda_{jpp} v_i v_j + 4\lambda_{ppqq} v_i v^2 \,, \\
\lambda_{ij}^I &= \lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{hpp} v_h \delta_{ij} + 2\lambda_{pp(i} v_{j)} + 4\lambda_{ppqq} v_j v_i + 2\lambda_{hhpp} v^2 \delta_{ij} \,, \\
\lambda_{ill} &= \lambda_{ipp} + 4\lambda_{hhpp} v_i \\
\lambda_{iill}^I &= \lambda_{ppqq}
\end{aligned}$$

The Galilean relativity principle imposes that h, $\phi_k - hv_k$, M_{ki}, M_{kij}, M_{kill}, M_i don' t depend on v_i. For this condition on h and $\phi_k - hv_k$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial h}{\partial v_i} = 0 &= m\lambda_i^I + 2\lambda_{ij}^I m_j + \lambda_{jpp}^I (m_{ll}\delta_{ij} + 2m_{ij}) + \\
&+ 4\lambda_{ppqq}^I m_{ill} \\
\frac{\partial(\phi^k - hv_k)}{\partial v_i} = 0 &= M_k \lambda_i^I + 2M_{kj}\lambda_{ij}^I + M_{kll}\lambda_{ipp}^I + 2M_{kil}\lambda_{lpp}^I + \\
&+ 4\lambda_{ppqq}^I M_{kill} + h'\delta_{ik} \,, \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where h' is defined by

$$h' = \lambda^I m + \lambda_i^I m_i + \lambda_{il}^I m_{il} + \lambda_{ill}^I m_{ill} + \lambda_{iill}^I m_{iill} - h;$$

In this way eqs. (15) and (16) become respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
dh^I &= \lambda^I dm + \lambda_i^I dm_i + \lambda_{il}^I dm_{il} + \lambda_{ill}^I dm_{ill} + \lambda_{iill}^I dm_{iill} \qquad (18) \\
d\phi_k^I &= \lambda^I dM_k + \lambda_i^I dM_{ki} + \lambda_{il}^I dM_{kil} + \lambda_{ill}^I dM_{kill} + \lambda_{ppqq}^I dM_{kiill}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\phi_k^I = \phi_k - hv_k$. Let us also define

$$\phi_k' = \lambda^I M_k + \lambda_i^I M_{ki} + \lambda_{il}^I M_{kil} + \lambda_{ill}^I M_{kill} + \lambda_{ppqq}^I M_{kiill} - \phi_k^I \,;$$

so that eqs. (18) become

$$\begin{aligned}
dh' &= m d\lambda^I + m_i d\lambda_i^I + m_{il} d\lambda_{il}^I + m_{ill} d\lambda_{ill}^I + m_{iill} d\lambda_{iill}^I \\
d\phi_k' &= M_k d\lambda^I + M_{ki} d\lambda_i^I + M_{kil} d\lambda_{il}^I + M_{kill} d\lambda_{ill}^I + M_{kiill} d\lambda_{ppqq}^I \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

from which, by taking λ^I, λ_i^I, λ_{ij}^I, λ_{ill}^I, λ_{iill}^I as independent variables and, by taking the derivatives with respect to the various components of the mean field, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
m &= \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda^I}, \quad m_i = \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_i^I}, \quad m_{il} = \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_{il}^I}, \\
m_{ill} &= \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_{ill}^I}, \quad m_{iill} = \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_{iill}^I}\,, \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (20) \\
M_k &= \frac{\partial \phi_k'}{\partial \lambda^I}, \quad M_{ki} = \frac{\partial \phi_k'}{\partial \lambda_i^I}, \quad M_{kil} = \frac{\partial \phi_k'}{\partial \lambda_{il}^I}, \\
M_{kill} &= \frac{\partial \phi_k'}{\partial \lambda_{ill}^I}, \quad M_{kiill} = \frac{\partial \phi_k'}{\partial \lambda_{iill}^I}.
\end{aligned}$$

These last equations are noting more than (2), but in the new reference frame. By substituting in (17) from (20) we obtain equations whose expression, in the previous reference frame, are (4). Consequently, (2) and (4) are equivalent to the principles of entropy and of Galilean relativity. Other interesting aspects can be found in [4], which are adapted for the present case in [5] and [6]. In order to find the general solution of (4), let us write a relativistic counterpart of our equations.

3 Relativistic extended thermodynamics for dense gases and macromolecular fluids

Let us consider the balance equations

$$\partial_\alpha T^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_\alpha A^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = I^{\beta\gamma} \, . \tag{21}$$

where $T^{\alpha\beta}$ isn' t symmetric, while $A^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $I^{\beta\gamma}$ are symmetric only with respect to the indexes $\beta\gamma$. The first of these is the conservation law of momentum-energy, while the trace of the second one is the conservation law of mass, so that

$$I^{\beta\gamma} g_{\beta\gamma} = 0 \, . \tag{22}$$

The entropy principle is expressed in terms of h^α, called (entropy density - entropy flux density) tensor, such that

$$\partial_\alpha h^\alpha = \sigma \geq 0 \tag{23}$$

for every solution of eqs. (21).
For Liu Theorem [7] eq. (23) is equivalent to assuming the existence of the Lagrange multipliers λ_β, $\lambda_{\beta\gamma}$ such that

$$\partial_\alpha h^\alpha - \sigma - \lambda_\beta \partial_\alpha T^{\alpha\beta} - \lambda_{\beta\gamma}(\partial_\alpha A^{\alpha\beta\gamma} - I^{\beta\gamma}) = 0 \tag{24}$$

for every value of the independent variables. By differentiating it becomes

$$dh^\alpha = \lambda_\beta dT^{\alpha\beta} + \lambda_{\beta\gamma} dA^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \, ; \quad -\sigma + \lambda_{\beta\gamma} I^{\beta\gamma} = 0. \tag{25}$$

If we define h'^α by

$$h^\alpha = -h'^\alpha + \lambda_\beta T^{\alpha\beta} + \lambda_{\beta\gamma} A^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tag{26}$$

then eq. (25) can be rewritten as

$$dh'^\alpha = \ T^{\alpha\beta} d\lambda_\beta + \ A^{\alpha\beta\gamma} d\lambda_{\beta\gamma}. \tag{27}$$

This last equation, by taking λ_β, $\lambda_{\beta\gamma}$ as independent variables, becomes

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial h'^\alpha}{\partial \lambda_\beta} \quad , \quad A^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{\partial h'^\alpha}{\partial \lambda_{\beta\gamma}} \tag{28}$$

It follows that from the knowledge of h'^α we obtain $T^{\alpha\beta}$ and $A^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$; we have only a condition on h'^α: it has to satisfy the Einsteinian relativity principle. For well known representation theorems as [8] and [9], we have that

$$h'^\alpha = \ h_0 \lambda^\alpha + h_1 \lambda^{\alpha\gamma} \lambda_\gamma + h_2 \overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma} \lambda_\gamma + \ h_3 \overset{3}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma} \lambda_\gamma \tag{29}$$

where the following definitions have been used

$$\overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma} = \lambda^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{\beta\delta}g^{\delta\gamma} \quad , \quad \overset{3}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma} = \lambda^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{\beta\delta}\lambda^{\delta\gamma}$$

with h_i scalar functions depending on

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_1 = \lambda^{\beta}_{\beta} \quad , \quad Q_2 = \overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma} g_{\alpha\gamma} \quad , \quad Q_3 = \overset{3}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma} g_{\alpha\gamma} \quad , \quad Q_4 = \overset{4}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma} g_{\alpha\gamma} \quad , \\ &P_0 = \lambda_\beta \lambda^\beta \quad , \quad P_1 = \lambda_\beta\lambda_\gamma\lambda^{\beta\gamma} \quad , \quad P_2 = \lambda_\beta\lambda_\gamma \overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\beta\gamma} \quad , \quad P_3 = \lambda_\beta\lambda_\gamma \overset{3}{\lambda}{}^{\beta\gamma} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

4 The non relativistic limit of the previous model

In order to take this limit, let us consider a modified procedure of that used in [10], [11] for ideal gases. We assume that this procedure holds also for macromolecular gases; this assumption doesn't lead to wrong results because we have already verified, at the end of sect. 1, that these results are correct. Let us subdivide the procedure in two parts.

4.1 A first transformation in 3-dimensional form

Eq. $(21)_1$ for $\beta = 0, i$ becomes

$$\frac{1}{c}\partial_t T^{00} + \partial_k T^{k0} = 0\,, \tag{31}$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\partial_t T^{0i} + \partial_k T^{ki} = 0\,. \tag{32}$$

Similarly, eq. $(21)_2$ for $\beta\gamma = 00$, $\beta\gamma = 0i$, $\beta\gamma = ij$ becomes

$$\frac{1}{c}\partial_t A^{000} + \partial_k A^{k00} = I^{00}\,,\ \frac{1}{c}\partial_t A^{00i} + \partial_k A^{k0i} = I^{0i}\,,\ \frac{1}{c}\partial_t A^{0ij} + \partial_k A^{kij} = I^{ij}\,. \tag{33}$$

Now we adopt the following change of variables

$$T^{00} = m_0^4 c F_2,\ T^{k0} = m_0^4 G_2^k,\ T^{0i} = m_0^4 F_2^i,\ T^{ki} = \frac{m_0^4}{c} G_2^{ki} \tag{34}$$

$$A^{000} = m_0^5 c^2 F_3,\ A^{00i} = m_0^5 c F_3^i,\ A^{0ij} = m_0^5 F_3^{ij} \tag{35}$$

$$A^{k00} = m_0^5 c G_3^k,\ A^{k0i} = m_0^5 G_3^{ki},\ A^{kij} = m_0^5 \frac{1}{c} G_3^{kij} \tag{36}$$

$$I^{00} = cRm_0^5,\ I^{0i} = Q^i m_0^5,\ I^{ij} = \frac{1}{c} p^{ij} m_0^5\,. \tag{37}$$

In their terms eqs. (31), (32) and (33) become

$$\partial_t F_2 + \partial_k G_2^k = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_t F_2^i + \partial_k G_2^{ki} = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_t F_3 + \partial_k G_3^k = R \tag{38}$$

$$\partial_t F_3^i + \partial_k G_3^{ki} = Q^i \quad , \quad \partial_t F_3^{ij} + \partial_k G_3^{kij} = p^{ij}\,.$$

The last of these can be also subdivided in

$$\partial_t F_3^{ll} + \partial_k G_3^{kll} = p^{ll} \quad , \quad \partial_t F_3^{<ij>} + \partial_k G_3^{k<ij>} = p^{<ij>} \, , \tag{39}$$

while eq. (23) can be subdivided in

$$\frac{1}{c}\partial_t h^0 + \partial_k h^k = \sigma \, . \tag{40}$$

With the following changes of names

$$h^0 = m_0^3 h, \qquad h^k = \frac{m_0^3}{c}\phi^\kappa, \qquad \sigma = \frac{m_0^3}{c}\sigma^\star \tag{41}$$

eq. (40) becomes

$$\partial_t h + \partial_k \phi^k = \sigma^\star \, . \tag{42}$$

4.2 A first transformation of the Lagrange Multipliers

EQ. $(25)_1$ for $\alpha = 0$ becomes

$$dh^0 = \lambda_0 dT^{00} + \lambda_i dT^{0i} + \lambda_{00} dA^{000} + 2\lambda_{0i} dA^{00i} + \lambda_{ij} dA^{0ij} \, . \tag{43}$$

By using eq. $(41)_1$ and (34)-(36), it transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} d(m_0^3 h) &= \lambda_0 d(m_0^4 c F_2) + \lambda_i d(m_0^4 F_2^i) + \lambda_{00} d(m_0^5 c^2 F_3) + \\ &+ 2\lambda_{0i} d(m_0^5 c F_3^i) + \lambda_{ij} d(m_0^5 F_3^{ij}) \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} dh &= \lambda_0 m_0 c d(F_2) + \lambda_i m_0 d(F_2^i) + \lambda_{00} m_0^2 c^2 d(F_3) + \\ &+ 2\lambda_{0i} m_0^2 c d(F_3^i) + \lambda_{ij} m_0^2 d(F_3^{ij}) = \\ &= \ell dF_2 + \ell_i dF_2^i + \eta dF_3 + \mu_i dF_3^i + \mu_{ij} dF_3^{ij} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

with

$$\ell = \lambda_0 m_0 c \, , \, \ell_i = \lambda_i m_0 \, , \, \eta = \lambda_{00} m_0^2 c^2 \, , \, \mu_i = 2\lambda_{0i} m_0^2 c \, , \, \mu_{ij} = \lambda_{ij} m_0^2 \, . \tag{46}$$

Similarly, eq. $(25)_1$ for $\alpha = k$ becomes

$$dh^k = \lambda_0 dT^{k0} + \lambda_i dT^{ki} + \lambda_{00} dA^{k00} + 2\lambda_{0i} dA^{k0i} + \lambda_{ij} dA^{kij} . \tag{47}$$

By using eq. (41) and (34), (36), it transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} d(\frac{m_0^3}{c}\phi^k) &= \lambda_0 d(m_0^4 G_2^k) + \lambda_i d(\frac{m_0^4}{c} G_2^{ki}) + \lambda_{00} d(m_0^5 c G_3^k) + \\ &+ 2\lambda_{0i} d(m_0^5 G_3^{ki}) + \lambda_{ij} d(m_0^5 \frac{1}{c} G_3^{kij}) \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten also as

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi^k &= \lambda_0 c m_0 d(G_2^k) + \lambda_i m_0 d(G_2^{ki}) + \lambda_{00} c^2 m_0^2 d(G_3^k) + \\ &+ 2\lambda_{0i} c m_0^2 d(G_3^{ki}) + \lambda_{ij} m_0^2 d(G_3^{kij}) = \\ &= \ell dG_2^k + \ell_i dG_2^{ki} + \eta dG_3^k + \mu_i dG_3^{ki} + \mu_{ij} dG_3^{kij} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where we have used (46). The equations (45) and (48) represent the entropy principle for the system (38); moreover, eq. (46) gives the transformation of the Lagrange multipliers.
Let us now find the transformation of h'^{α} ; eq. (26) for $\alpha = 0$ is

$$h^0 = -h'^0 + \lambda_0 T^{00} + \lambda_i T^{0i} + \lambda_{00} A^{000} + 2\lambda_{i0} A^{0i0} + \lambda_{ij} A^{0ij} \,. \tag{49}$$

By using eqs. $(41)_1$, (46) and (34)-(36), eq. (49) becomes

$$m_0^3 h = -h'^0 + \ell m_0^3 F_2 + \ell_i m_0^3 F_2^i + \eta m_0^3 F_3 + \mu_i m_0^3 F_3^i + \mu_{ij} m_0^3 F_3^{ij} . \tag{50}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$h = \frac{-h'^0}{m_0^3} + \ell F_2 + \ell_i F_2^i + \eta F_3 + \mu_i F_3^i + \mu_{ij} F_3^{ij} \tag{51}$$

or

$$h = -h' + \ell F_2 + \ell_i F_2^i + \eta F_3 + \mu_i F_3^i + \mu_{ij} F_3^{ij} \tag{52}$$

where we have defined

$$h'^0 = m_0^3 h' \,. \tag{53}$$

In this way we have found the counterpart of $(41)_1$ for h'^0. Let us now find the transformation of h'^{α} for $\alpha = k$; from eq. (26) we find

$$h^k = -h'^k + \lambda_0 T^{k0} + \lambda_i T^{ki} + \lambda_{00} A^{k00} + 2\lambda_{i0} A^{ki0} + \lambda_{ij} A^{kij} \tag{54}$$

This, by using again eqs. $(41)_2$, (46) and (34)-(36), can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_0^3}{c}\phi^k \; &= \; -\, h'^k + \frac{\ell}{m_0 c} m_0^4 G_2^k + \frac{\ell_i}{m_0}\frac{m_0^4}{c} G_2^{ki} + \\ &+ \; \frac{\eta}{m_0^2 c^2} m_0^5 c\, G_3^k + \frac{\mu_i}{m_0^2 c} m_0^5 G_3^{ki} + \frac{\mu_{ij}}{m_0^2}\frac{m_0^5}{c} G_3^{kij} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\phi^k = -\phi'^k + \ell G_2^k + \ell_i G_2^{ki} + \eta G_3^k + \mu_i G_3^{ki} + \mu_{ij} G_3^{kij} \tag{55}$$

with

$$h'^k = \frac{m_0^3}{c}\phi'^k . \tag{56}$$

Eqs. (53) and (56) are the counterparts of $(41)_{1,2}$ for h'^0 and h'^k. Eqs. (52) and (55) are the counterpart of eq. (26) for the system (38). Let us finish by considering the counterpart of mass conservation (22), that is $-I^{00} + I^{ij}\delta_{ij} = 0$ or, by use of (37), $-\, cRm_0^5 + \frac{1}{c} p^{ij} m_0^5 \delta_{ij} = 0$. In other words,

$$R = \frac{1}{c^2} p^{ij} \delta_{ij} \,. \tag{57}$$

4.3 The second transformation in 3-dimensional form

4.3.1 Suggestions from the kinetic theory for ideal gases

For ideal gases the variables $F_2, F_2^i, F_3, F_3^i, F_3^{ij}$ have counterparts in statistical mechanics where they are defined as moments of the distribution function $\widetilde{f}$, i.e., by means of the following integrals

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 \; &= \; \int \tilde{f} \gamma^6 d\mathbf{u} \,, \quad F_2^i = \int \tilde{f} \gamma^6 u^i d\mathbf{u} \,, \\ F_3 \; &= \; \int \tilde{f} \gamma^7 d\mathbf{u} \,, \quad F_3^i = \int \tilde{f} \gamma^7 u^i d\mathbf{u} \,, \quad F_3^{ij} = \int \tilde{f} \gamma^7 u^i u^j d\mathbf{u} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where γ is the Lorentz factor $(1-\frac{u^2}{c^2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
If we take the limits of these expressions for $c \to \infty$ we obtain $F_2 = F_3$, $F_2^i = F_3^i$ so that they will be no more independent variables; to avoid this problem, we take suitable invertible linear combinations of the equations before taking the limits.
In particular, as first equation we take the linear combination of $(38)_3$ and $(39)_1$ trough the coefficients 1 and $\frac{-1}{c^2}$, respectively; so we obtain the following eq. $(69)_1$ which is the *conservation law of mass* with

$$F = F_3 - \frac{1}{c^2}F_3^{ll}\,, \quad G^k = G_3^k - \frac{1}{c^2}G_3^{kll}\,; \tag{59}$$

moreover, we have taken into account eq.(57).
As second equation we take $(38)_2$ which can be written as the following eq. $(69)_2$ which is the *conservation law of momentum* with

$$F^i = F_2^i\,, \quad G^{ki} = G_2^{ki}\,; \tag{60}$$

As third equation we take the linear combination of $(38)_1$, $(38)_3$, $(39)_1$ trough the coefficients $2c^2$, $-2c^2$, 2 respectively; so it becomes

$$\partial_t F^{ll} + \partial_k G^{kll} = 0 \tag{61}$$

which is the *conservation law of energy*, with

$$F^{ll} = 2c^2(F_2 - F_3 + \frac{1}{c^2}F_3^{ll})\,, \quad G^{kll} = 2c^2(G_2^k - G_3^k + \frac{1}{c^2}G_3^{kll})\,. \tag{62}$$

As fourth equation we take $(39)_2$ which we write as

$$\partial_t F^{<ij>} + \partial_k G^{k<ij>} = p^{<ij>} \tag{63}$$

where

$$F^{<ij>} = F_3^{<ij>}\,, \quad G^{k<ij>} = G_3^{k<ij>}\,. \tag{64}$$

We transform furtherly eq. (63) adding to it eq. (61) multiplied by $\frac{\delta^{ij}}{3}$ and obtaining the following eq. $(69)_3$ with

$$F^{ij} = F_3^{ij} + \frac{c^2\delta^{ij}}{3}(2F_2 - 2F_3 + \frac{F_3^{ll}}{c^2}) \tag{65}$$

$$G^{kij} = G_3^{kij} + \frac{c^2\delta^{ij}}{3}(2G_2^k - 2G_3^k + \frac{G_3^{kll}}{c^2}) \tag{66}$$

Equation $(69)_3$ encloses both (61) and (63). As other equation we take the linear combination of $(38)_4$ and $(38)_2$ trough the coefficients $2c^2$ and $-2c^2$, respectively; so we obtain the following eq. $(69)_4$ with

$$F^{ill} = 2c^2(F_3^i - F_2^i)\,, \, G^{kill} = 2c^2(G_3^{ki} - G_2^{ki})\,, \, p^{ill} = 2c^2 Q^i\,. \tag{67}$$

Finally, as last equation we take the linear combination of $(38)_1$, $(38)_3$, $(39)_1$ trough the coefficients $-8c^4$, $+8c^4$, $-4c^2$ respectively; so we obtain the following eq. $(69)_5$ with

$$\begin{aligned} F^{iill} &= -8c^4F_2 + 8c^4F_3 - 4c^2F_3^{ll} \\ G^{kiill} &= -8c^4G_2^k + 8c^4G_3^k - 4c^2G_3^{kll} \\ p^{iill} &= 8c^4R - 4c^2p^{ll} = 8c^2p^{ll} - 4c^2p^{ll} = 4c^2p^{ll}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where eq. (57) has been used. The complete system is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t F + \partial_k G^k = 0\,,\; \partial_t F^i + \partial_k G^{ki} = 0\,,\; \partial_t F^{ij} + \partial_k G^{kij} = p^{<ij>}\,, \\
&\partial_t F^{ill} + \partial_k G^{kill} = p^{ill}\,,\; \partial_t F^{iill} + \partial_k G^{kiill} = p^{iill}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Note that the only difference between this system and (1) is that in $(69)_1$ intervenes G^k, while in $(1)_1$ there is F^k; for this reason we will have to impose the further condition $G^k = F^k$.

4.3.2 Reasons for the above choice of coefficients.

The coefficients in the above linear combinations have been chosen for the following reasons: from (58), $(59)_1$, $(60)_1$, $(62)_1$, $(64)_1$, $(67)_1$, $(68)_1$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&F = \int \widetilde{f}\gamma^7(1-\frac{u^2}{c^2})d \quad , \quad F^i = \int \widetilde{f}\gamma^6 u^i d\mathbf{u}u = \int \widetilde{f}\gamma^5 d\mathbf{u} \quad , \quad F^{ll} = 2c^2 \int \widetilde{f}\gamma^7(\frac{1}{\gamma} - 1 + \frac{u^2}{c^2})d\mathbf{u} \quad , \\
&F^{<ij>} = \int \widetilde{f}\gamma^7(u^i u^j - \frac{1}{3}u^2\delta^{ij})d\mathbf{u} \quad , \quad F^{ill} = 2c^2 \int \widetilde{f}\gamma^7 u^i(1-\frac{1}{\gamma})d\mathbf{u} \quad , \\
&F^{iill} = \int \widetilde{f}\gamma^7(-8c^4\frac{1}{\gamma} + 8c^4 - 4c^2u^2)d\mathbf{u}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

which have limits $\int \widetilde{f} d\mathbf{u}$, $\int \widetilde{f} u^i d\mathbf{u}$, $\int \widetilde{f} u^2 d\mathbf{u}$, $\int \widetilde{f} u^{<i}u^{j>} d\mathbf{u}$, $\int \widetilde{f} u^i u^2 d\mathbf{u}$, $\int \widetilde{f} u^4 d\mathbf{u}$, where we have taken into account also that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} = \sqrt{1-\frac{u^2}{c^2}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{u^2}{c^2} - \frac{1}{8}\frac{u^4}{c^4} + \frac{u^6}{c^6}(...)\,. \tag{70}$$

From the previous expressions it follows that F^{ij} has limit $\int \widetilde{f} u^i u^j d\mathbf{u}$. Obviously, the previous properties hold in the case of ideal gases; we assume that the corresponding change of equations is appropriate also for dense gases and for macromolecular fluids. In this way generality is not lost because the Galilean relativity principle has the same form for both cases.

4.4 Second Transformation of the Lagrange multipliers

The change of equations in the previous section induces another one on the Lagrange multipliers and we want now to determine it.
We observe that the equations $(59)_1$, $(60)_1$, (65), $(67)_1$, $(68)_1$ give F, F^i, F^{ij}, F^{ill}, F^{iill} in terms of F_2, F_2^i, F_3, F_3^i, F_3^{ij}. Let us now take the inverse of these relations, which are

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_2 = \frac{F^{ll}}{2c^2} + F \quad , \quad F_2^i = F^i \\
&F_3 = F + \frac{F^{ppll}}{4c^4} + \frac{F^{ll}}{c^2} \quad , \quad F_3^i = \frac{F^{ill}}{2c^2} + F^i \quad , \quad F_3^{ij} = F^{ij} + \frac{\delta^{ij}}{3}\frac{F^{ppll}}{4c^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

and these we now substitute in eq. (45); so it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
dh &= ld(\frac{F^{ll}}{2c^2} + F) + l_i d(F^i) + \eta d(F + \frac{F^{ppll}}{4c^4} + \frac{F^{ll}}{c^2}) + \\
&+ \mu_i d(\frac{F^{ill}}{2c^2} + F^i) + \mu_{ij} d(F^{ij} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^{ij}\frac{F^{ppll}}{4c^2}) = \\
&= \lambda dF + \lambda_i dF^i + \lambda_{ij} dF^{ij} + \lambda_{ill} dF^{ill} \lambda_{ppll} dF^{ppll}
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

with

$$\lambda = l + \eta \quad , \quad \lambda_i = l_i + \mu_i \quad , \quad \lambda_{ij} = \mu_{ij} + (\frac{l}{2c^2} + \frac{\eta}{c^2})\delta_{ij} \quad , \tag{73}$$

$$\lambda_{ill} = \frac{\mu_i}{2c^2} \quad , \quad \lambda_{ppll} = \frac{\eta}{4c^4} + \frac{\mu_{ll}}{12c^2} \, .$$

In this way we have found the first part of the entropy principle for the new system.
For the sequel it will be useful to take the inverse of eqs. (73). They are

$$\eta = 8c^4\lambda_{ppll} - \frac{2}{3}c^2\lambda_{ll} + \lambda \quad , \quad \ell = -8c^4\lambda_{ppll} + \frac{2}{3}c^2\lambda_{ll} \quad , \quad \mu_i = 2c^2\lambda_{ill} \quad , \tag{74}$$

$$\ell_i = \lambda_i - 2c^2\lambda_{ill} \quad , \quad \mu_{ij} = \lambda_{ij} - (4c^2\lambda_{ppll} - \frac{\lambda_{ll}}{3} + \frac{\lambda}{c^2})\delta_{ij} \quad .$$

Similarly, eqs. $(59)_2$, $(60)_2$, (66), $(67)_2$, $(68)_2$ give G^k, G^{ki}, G^{kij},G^{kill}, G^{kiill}, in terms of G_2^k, G_2^{ki}, G_3^k, G_3^{ki} G_3^{kij}. The inverses of these relations are

$$G_2^k = \frac{G^{kll}}{2c^2} + G^k \quad , \quad G_2^{ki} = G^{ki} \tag{75}$$

$$G_3^k = G^k + \frac{G^{kppll}}{4c^4} + \frac{G^{kll}}{c^2} \quad , \quad G_3^{ki} = \frac{G^{kill}}{2c^2} + G^{ki} \quad , \quad G_3^{kij} = G^{kij} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^{ij}\frac{G^{kppll}}{4c^2} \quad ,$$

which now we substitute into eq. (48) which now becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi^k &= \ell d(\frac{G^{kll}}{2c^2} + G^k) + \ell_i dG^{ki} + \eta d(G^k + \frac{G^{kppll}}{4c^4} + \frac{G^{kll}}{c^2}) + \\ &+ \mu_i d(\frac{G^{kill}}{2c^2} + G^{ki}) + \mu_{ij} d(G^{kij} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^{ij}\frac{G^{kppll}}{4c^2}) = \\ &= \lambda dG^k + \lambda_i dG^{ki} + \lambda_{ij} dG^{kij} + \lambda_{ill} dG^{kill} + \lambda_{ppll} dG^{kppll} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

thanks to eq. (73).
In this way we have obtained the second part of the entropy principle. We deduce now the transformation of h' and ϕ'^k; to this end, let us take eq. (52) and substitute eqs. (71); so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h &= -h' + l(\frac{F^{ll}}{2c^2} + F) + l_i F^i + \eta(F + \frac{F^{ppll}}{4c^4} + \frac{F^{ll}}{c^2}) + \\ &+ \mu_i(\frac{F^{ill}}{2c^2} + F^i) + \mu_{ij}(F^{ij} + \frac{\delta^{ij}}{3}\frac{F^{ppll}}{4c^2}) \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

which, for eq. (73), can be written in the following way

$$h = -h' + \lambda F + \lambda_i F^i + \lambda_{ij} F^{ij} + \lambda_{ill} F^{ill} + \lambda_{ppll} F^{ppll} \tag{78}$$

From eqs. (55), by substituting from eqs. (75) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^k &= -\phi'^k + \ell(\frac{G^{kll}}{2c^2} + G^k) + \ell_i G^{ki} + \\ &+ \eta(G^k + \frac{G^{kppll}}{4c^4} + \frac{G^{kll}}{c^2}) + \mu_i(\frac{G^{kill}}{2c^2} + G^{ki}) + \mu_{ij}(G^{kij} + \frac{\delta^{ij}G^{kppll}}{12c^2}) = \\ &= -\phi'^k + \lambda G^k + \lambda_i G^{ki} + \lambda_{ij} G^{kij} + \lambda_{ill} G^{kill} + \lambda_{ppll} G^{kppll} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

for eqs. (73).
The equations (72) and (76) gives the entropy principle for the balance equations (69). The equations (78) and (79) give the counterparts of (52) and (55) for the new system (69). We have now to obtain h' and ϕ'^k from (29) expressing them in terms of the new Lagrange multipliers and then taking the limits for $c \longrightarrow \infty$. The functions h' and ϕ'^k are called "potentials".

5 Determination of the potentials

With eqs. (78) and (79) we have found that the functions h' and ϕ'^k for the new system (69) are exactly the same obtained, through the above mentioned passages, from the 4-potential h'^α for the system (21). Consequently, we may obtain them from the result (29) of the relativistic system. To this end it is necessary to write the relativistic Lagrange multipliers in terms of those for the system (69). By deducing λ_0, λ_i, λ_{00}, λ_{0i} and λ_{ij} from (46), and by substituting in their expressions eqs. (74) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^\beta{}_\gamma &= \frac{c^2}{m_0^2}\left[\begin{pmatrix} -8\lambda_{ppll} & 0_j \\ 0_i & -4\lambda_{ppll}\delta_{ij}\end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_{jll} \\ \lambda_{ill} & 0_{ij}\end{pmatrix} + \right. \\
&+ \left. \frac{1}{c^2}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}\lambda_{ll} & 0_j \\ 0_i & \lambda_{ij} + \frac{1}{3}\lambda_{ll}\delta_{ij}\end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c^4}\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0_j \\ 0_i & -\lambda\delta_{ij}\end{pmatrix}\right], \\
\lambda^\beta &= \frac{c^3}{m_0}\left[\begin{pmatrix} 8\lambda_{ppll} \\ 0_i\end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2\lambda_{ill}\end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c^2}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{3}\lambda_{ll} \\ 0_i\end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c^3}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda_i\end{pmatrix}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

We can now begin to evaluate the 4-vectors intervening in (29) and the scalars (30).

5.1 The scalars $\mathbf{Q}_1$ - $\mathbf{Q}_4$.

Let us begin with $(30)_1$. From eq. $(80)_1$ we find

$$Q_1 = \frac{c^2}{m_0^2}\left(-20\lambda_{ppll} + \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{8}{3}\lambda_{ll} - \frac{4}{c^4}\lambda\right). \tag{81}$$

Consequently, if we assume that the scalar functions depend on Q_1 as composite functions through $\frac{m_0^2}{-20c^2}Q_1$, then their limits will be functions of $\lambda_{ppll} = X_1$.
Let us consider now eq. $(30)_2$. From eq. $(80)_1$ we find

$$Q_2 = \frac{c^4}{m_0^4}\left(112\lambda_{ppll}^2 + 0(\frac{1}{c})\right),$$

where $0(\frac{1}{c})$ has limit zero when c goes to infinity. Therefore, if we assume that the scalar functions depend on Q_2 as composite functions through $\frac{m_0^4}{c^4}Q_2$, then their limits will be functions of $112\lambda_{ppll}^2$. But this is not independent from X_1, so that this result is too much restrictive. The idea is now to find a number k such that $\frac{m_0^4}{c^4}(Q_2 + kQ_1^2)$ has zero limit for c going to infinity. We find $k = -\frac{7}{25}$. After that, we see that

$$Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2 = \frac{c^2}{m_0^4}\left(-2\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} + \frac{16}{5}\lambda_{ppll}\lambda_{ll} + 0(\frac{1}{c})\right). \tag{82}$$

Therefore, if we assume that the scalar functions depend on Q_1 and Q_2 as composite functions through $\frac{m_0^2}{-20c^2}Q_1$ and $\frac{m_0^4}{c^2}(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)$, then their limits will be functions of X_1 ed X_2.

Going on in a similar way, we look for the numbers k_1 and k_2 such that $\frac{m_0^6}{c^4}[Q_3+k_1Q_1(Q_2-\frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)+k_2Q_1^3]$ has zero limit for c going to infinity. We find $k_1=-\frac{9}{10}$ and $k_2=-\frac{11}{125}$. After that, we obtain

$$Q_3-\frac{9}{10}Q_1(Q_2-\frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)-\frac{11}{125}Q_1^3=\frac{c^2}{m_0^6}\left(-\frac{3}{2}X_3+0(\frac{1}{c})\right)\,. \tag{83}$$

Then the limit of a scalar function depends on X_1, X_2 and X_3.
Similarly, we search the numbers k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6 such that $\frac{m_0^8}{c^4}\{Q_4+k_3Q_1[Q_3-\frac{9}{10}Q_1(Q_2-\frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)-\frac{11}{125}Q_1^3]+k_4(Q_2-\frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)^2+k_5Q_1^2(Q_2-\frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)+k_6Q_1^4]$ has zero limit for c going to infinity. We find $k_3=-\frac{16}{15}$, $k_4=-\frac{1}{2}$, $k_5=-\frac{14}{25}$, $k_6=-\frac{19}{625}$. After that, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&Q_4-\frac{16}{15}Q_1\left[Q_3-\frac{9}{10}Q_1\left(Q_2-\frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right)-\frac{11}{125}Q_1^3\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_2-\frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right)^2\\&-\frac{14}{25}Q_1^2\left(Q_2-\frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right)-\frac{19}{625}Q_1^4=\frac{c^2}{m_0^8}\left(-2X_4+0(\frac{1}{c})\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

Therefore, the limit of a scalar function depends on X_1, X_2, X_3 and X_4.
Before proceeding with the other scalars $(30)_{5-8}$, let us evaluate the 4-vectors of which (29) is a linear combination.

5.2 Limits of the 4-vectors in the expression of the relativistic potential

From the eqs. (53), (56) and $(80)_2$ it follows that the term $h_0\lambda^\alpha$ contributes to ϕ'^k the term $-2H_0\lambda_{kll}$ and to h' the term $8H_0\lambda_{ppll}$, where H_0 is the limit of $\frac{c^3}{m_0^4}h_0$ for c going to infinity.
But, from eqs. (56) and (80) it follows that the term $h_1\lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma$ contributes to ϕ'^k the term $\frac{c^5}{m_0^6}h_1\,16\lambda_{ppll}\lambda_{kll}$ which is parallel to the previous one. In order not to lose generality, it is better to look for a number a such that $(\lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma+a\,Q_1\,\lambda^\alpha)\,\frac{m_0^3}{c^5}$ has zero limit. We find $a=-\frac{2}{5}$. After that, we obtain

$$\lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma-\frac{2}{5}\,Q_1\,\lambda^\alpha=\frac{c^3}{m_0^3}\left[\begin{pmatrix}X_2\\ \\ 0_i\end{pmatrix}+\frac{1}{c}\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \\ V_1^i\end{pmatrix}+0\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)\right] \tag{85}$$

Now a linear combination, with arbitrary coefficients, of λ^α, $\lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma$, $\overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma$, $\overset{3}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma$ is also a linear combination, with arbitrary coefficients, of λ^α, $\lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma-\frac{2}{5}\,Q_1\,\lambda^\alpha$, $\overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma$, $\overset{3}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma$ so we can suppose that in (29) there is $\lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma-\frac{2}{5}\,Q_1\,\lambda^\alpha$ instead of $\lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma$; After that, from eqs. (53) and (56) it follows that the term $h_1(\lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma-\frac{2}{5}\,Q_1\,\lambda^\alpha)$ contributes to ϕ'^k the term $H_1(-2\lambda_{ih}\lambda_{hll}+4\lambda_{ppll}\lambda_i+\frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ill})$ and to h' the terms H_1X_2, where H_1 is the limit of $\frac{c^3}{m_0^6}h_1$ for c going to infinity.
Proceeding furtherly in this way, we search the numbers a_1, a_2, a_3, such that
$\frac{m_0^5}{c^5}\left\{\overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma+a_1Q_1\lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma+\left[a_2Q_1^2+a_3(Q_2-\frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)\right]\lambda^\alpha\right\}$, has zero limit for c going to infinity. We find $a_1=-\frac{3}{5}$, $a_2=\frac{2}{25}$, $a_3=-\frac{1}{2}$. After that, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma-\frac{3}{5}Q_1\lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma+\left[\frac{2}{25}Q_1^2-\frac{1}{2}(Q_2-\frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)\right]\lambda^\alpha=\\&=\frac{c^3}{m_0^5}\left[\begin{pmatrix}X_3\\ \\ 0_i\end{pmatrix}+\frac{1}{c}\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \\ V_2^i\end{pmatrix}+0\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

This 4-vector can replace $\overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma$ in (29); so we find that it, together with the factor h_2, contributes to ϕ'^k the term $H_2(-2\lambda^2_{kh}\lambda_{hll} + \frac{6}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ka}\lambda_{all} + 4\lambda_{ka}\lambda_a\lambda_{ppll} - \frac{11}{25}\lambda^2_{ll}\lambda_{kll} - \lambda_{kll}\lambda_a\lambda_{all} + \lambda_k\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all} + +(tr\lambda^2_{ab})\lambda_{kll} - \frac{12}{5}\lambda_{ppll}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_k)$ and to h' the term H_2X_3, with H_2 limit of $\frac{c^3}{m_0^8}h_2$ for c going to infinity.
Finally, we search the numbers a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, such that
$\frac{m_0^7}{c^5}\{ \overset{3}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma + a_4Q_1 \overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma + \left[a_5Q_1^2 + a_6(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)\right] \lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma$
$+ \left[a_7Q_3 + a_8Q_1^3 + a_9Q_1(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)\right] \lambda^\alpha \}$, has zero limit for c going to infinity. We find $a_4 = -\frac{4}{5}$, $a_5 = \frac{1}{5}$, $a_6 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $a_7 = -\frac{1}{3}$, $a_8 = \frac{1}{75}$, $a_9 = \frac{2}{5}$. After that we have the result

$$\begin{aligned} &\overset{3}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma - \frac{4}{5}Q_1 \overset{2}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma + \left[\frac{1}{5}Q_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)\right] \lambda^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma + \\ &\left[-\frac{1}{3}Q_3 + \frac{1}{75}Q_1^3 + \frac{2}{5}Q_1(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)\right] \lambda^\alpha = \\ &= \frac{c^3}{m_0^7}\left[\begin{pmatrix} X_4 \\ \\ 0_i \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \\ V_3^i \end{pmatrix} + 0\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)\right] . \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

It may replace $\overset{3}{\lambda}{}^{\alpha\gamma}\lambda_\gamma$ in (29), so that it, together with the factor h_3, contributes to ϕ'^k the term $H_3\left[2\lambda_{ppll}\left(-2\lambda^2_{kh}\lambda_h - tr\lambda^2_{ab}\lambda_k - \frac{8}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda_{ka}\lambda_a + \frac{17}{25}\lambda^2_{ll}\lambda_k\right) + (\lambda_{kh}\lambda_h)(\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all}) + -\frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}(\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all})\lambda_k - \frac{17}{25}\lambda^2_{ll}\lambda_{ka}\lambda_{all} - (\lambda_{all}\lambda_{all})\lambda_{kb}\lambda_{bll} + (tr\lambda^2_{ab})\lambda_{kc}\lambda_{cll} + \frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}(\lambda_a\lambda_{all})\lambda_{kll} + \frac{8}{5}\lambda_{ll}\lambda^2_{kh}\lambda_{hll} + +\frac{74}{375}\lambda^3_{ll}\lambda_{kll} - \frac{4}{5}\lambda_{ll}(tr\lambda^2_{ab})\lambda_{kll} + (\lambda_{ab}\lambda_{all}\lambda_{bll})\lambda_k - (\lambda_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_{bll})\lambda_{kll} + \frac{2}{3}(tr\lambda^3_{ab})\lambda_{kll} - 2\lambda^3_{kh}\lambda_{hll}\right]$ and to h' the term H_3X_4, with H_3 limit of $\frac{c^3}{m_0^8}h_3$ for c going to infinity.

5.3 The scalars $\mathbf{P}_0$ - $\mathbf{P}_3$.

From eq. $(80)_2$ we find $P_0 = \frac{c^6}{m_0^2}\left(64\lambda^2_{ppll} + 0(\frac{1}{c})\right)$ so that the limit of $\frac{m_0^2}{c^6}P_0$ is a function of X_1. In order to obtain a less restrictive result, we may substitute P_0 with $P_0 + \frac{4}{25}Q_1^2m_0^2c^2$, because this will eliminate the term $\frac{c^6}{m_0^2}64\lambda^2_{ppll}$ di P_0; in fact we obtain

$$P_0 + \frac{4}{25}Q_1^2m_0^2c^2 = \frac{c^4}{m_0^2}\left(-2X_2 + 0(\frac{1}{c})\right) .$$

In this way we have a better result, even if it is still not enough; to this end let us substitute $P_0 + \frac{4}{25}Q_1^2m_0^2c^2$ with $P_0 + \frac{4}{25}Q_1^2m_0^2c^2 + 2(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)m_0^2c^2$ and the result is satisfactory because is equal to

$$\frac{c^2}{m_0^2}\left(-\frac{2}{5}\lambda^2_{ll} + 16\lambda_{ppll}\Lambda - 4\lambda_a\lambda_{all} + 2tr\lambda^2_{ab} + 0(\frac{1}{c})\right) .$$

In this way we have found the new scalar X_5.
Let us now consider the scalar P_1 in $(30)_6$. It is obvious, for eq. (85) that it is better to replace it with $P_1 - \frac{2}{5}Q_1P_0$; but this has limit a scalar which is a function of X_1, X_2, X_3. Briefly, let us look for the numbers b_1 and b_2 such that

$$\frac{m_0^4}{c^4}\left\{P_1 - \frac{2}{5}Q_1P_0 + b_1Q_1\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right)m_0^2c^2 + b_2\left[Q_3 - \frac{9}{10}Q_1(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2) - \frac{11}{125}Q_1^3\right]m_0^2c^2\right\}$$

has zero limit.
We find $b_1 = \frac{2}{5}$, $b_2 = \frac{4}{3}$. After that we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &- \frac{2}{5}Q_1 P_0 + \frac{2}{5}Q_1\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right) m_0^2 c^2 + \frac{4}{3}\left[Q_3 - \frac{9}{10}Q_1(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2) - \frac{11}{125}Q_1^3\right] m_0^2 c^2 = \\ &= \frac{c^2}{m_0^4}\left(X_6 + 0(\frac{1}{c})\right) , \end{aligned}$$

from which the new scalar X_6.
Let s consider now the scalar P_2 in $(30)_7$. It is obvious, for eq. (86) that it is better replace it with $P_2 - \frac{3}{5}Q_1 P_1 + \left[\frac{2}{25}Q_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right)\right] P_0$; but also this is not enough; then we search the numbers b_3 and b_4 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_0^6}{c^4}\Bigg\{P_2 - \frac{3}{5}Q_1 P_1 + \left[\frac{2}{25}Q_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right)\right] P_0 + \\ + b_3 Q_1\left[Q_3 - \frac{9}{10}Q_1\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right) - \frac{11}{125}Q_1^3\right] m_0^2 c^2\Bigg\} + \\ + b_4\frac{m_0^6}{c^4}\Bigg\{Q_4 - \frac{16}{15}Q_1\left[Q_3 - \frac{9}{10}Q_1\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right) - \frac{11}{125}Q_1^3\right] + \\ - \frac{1}{2}\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right)^2 - \frac{14}{25}Q_1^2\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right) - \frac{19}{625}Q_1^4\Bigg\} m_0^2 c^2 \end{aligned}$$

has zero limit.
We find $b_3 = \frac{4}{15}$, $b_4 = 1$. After that it follows

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 - \frac{3}{5}Q_1 P_1 + \left[\frac{2}{25}Q_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right)\right] P_0 + \\ + \frac{4}{15}Q_1\left[Q_3 - \frac{9}{10}Q_1\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right) - \frac{11}{125}Q_1^3\right] m_0^2 c^2 + \\ + \Bigg\{Q_4 - \frac{16}{15}Q_1\left[Q_3 - \frac{9}{10}Q_1\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right) - \frac{11}{125}Q_1^3\right] + \\ - \frac{1}{2}\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right)^2 - \frac{14}{25}Q_1^2\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right) - \frac{19}{625}Q_1^4\Bigg\} m_0^2 c^2 = \\ = \frac{c^2}{m_0^6}\left(X_7 + 0(\frac{1}{c})\right) , \end{aligned}$$

from which the new scalar X_7.
Finally, let us consider the scalar P_3 in $(30)_8$. It is obvious, for eq. (87) that it is better replace it with $P_3 - \frac{4}{5}Q_1 P_2 + \left[\frac{1}{5}Q_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)\right] P_1 + \left[-\frac{1}{3}Q_3 + \frac{1}{75}Q_1^3 + \frac{2}{5}Q_1(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)\right] P_0$; but this also is not enough; then we look for a number b_5 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_0^8}{c^6}\Bigg\{P_3 - \frac{4}{5}Q_1 P_2 + \left[\frac{1}{5}Q_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)\right] P_1 + \left[-\frac{1}{3}Q_3 + \frac{1}{75}Q_1^3 + \frac{2}{5}Q_1(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2)\right] P_0\Bigg\} + \\ + b_5 Q_1\frac{m_0^8}{c^6}\Bigg\{Q_4 - \frac{16}{15}Q_1\left[Q_3 - \frac{9}{10}Q_1\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right) - \frac{11}{125}Q_1^3\right] + \\ - \frac{1}{2}\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right)^2 - \frac{14}{25}Q_1^2\left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25}Q_1^2\right) - \frac{19}{625}Q_1^4\Bigg\} m_0^2 c^2 \end{aligned}$$

has zero limit.
We find $b_5 = \frac{1}{5}$. Then we can evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} & P_3 - \frac{4}{5} Q_1 P_2 + \left[\frac{1}{5} Q_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} (Q_2 - \frac{7}{25} Q_1^2) \right] P_1 + \left[-\frac{1}{3} Q_3 + \frac{1}{75} Q_1^3 + \frac{2}{5} Q_1 (Q_2 - \frac{7}{25} Q_1^2) \right] P_0 + \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{5} Q_1 \left\{ Q_4 - \frac{16}{15} Q_1 \left[Q_3 - \frac{9}{10} Q_1 \left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25} Q_1^2 \right) - \frac{11}{125} Q_1^3 \right] + \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25} Q_1^2 \right)^2 - \frac{14}{25} Q_1^2 \left(Q_2 - \frac{7}{25} Q_1^2 \right) - \frac{19}{625} Q_1^4 \right\} m_0^2 c^2 = \\ & \quad = \frac{c^2}{m_0^8} \left(X_8 + 0(\frac{1}{c}) \right) , \end{aligned}$$

from which the last new scalar X_8.

NOTE: We have obtained the decomposition (80) by considering a modified procedure of that introduced in [10], [11] for ideal gases; instead of this, if we use exactly the procedure of [10], [11], we have to substitute (80) with

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\beta}{}_{\gamma} &= \frac{c^2}{m_0^2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} -8\lambda_{ppll} & 0_j \\ 0_i & -4\lambda_{ppll}\delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_{jll} \\ \lambda_{ill} & 0_{ij} \end{pmatrix} + \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{c^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_j \\ 0_i & \lambda_{ij} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c^3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_j \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_i & 0_{ij} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c^4} \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0_j \\ 0_i & 0_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \right] , \\ \lambda^{\beta} &= \frac{c^3}{m_0} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 8\lambda_{ppll} \\ 0_i \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2\lambda_{ill} \end{pmatrix} \right] . \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

Another procedure is present in literature ([12], [13]) also for ideal gases. If we want to follow it, then we have to substitute (80) with

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\beta}{}_{\gamma} &= \frac{c^2}{m_0^2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} -3\lambda_{ppll} & 0_j \\ 0_i & \lambda_{ppll}\delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_{jll} \\ \lambda_{ill} & 0_{ij} \end{pmatrix} + + \frac{1}{c^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_j \\ 0_i & \lambda_{<ij>} \end{pmatrix} \right] , \\ \lambda^{\beta} &= \frac{c^3}{m_0} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 8\lambda_{ppll} \\ 0_i \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2\lambda_{ill} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c^2} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{3}\lambda_{ll} \\ 0_i \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{c^3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda_i \end{pmatrix} \right] , \\ \xi &= c^4 \left(5\lambda_{ppll} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{c^2} \lambda_{ll} + \frac{\lambda}{c^4} \right) . \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

We have performed calculations also with (88) and with (89) instead of (80), but we don’ t report them for the sake of brevity. The interesting result is that the pertinent polynomials in $1/c$ are different between them and from those here obtained, but the limits for c going to infinity are the same with all 3 approaches!

References

[1] G.M. Kremer, Extended Thermodynamics of ideal gases with 14 fields. Ann Inst. Henri Poincaré, 45, p.419, (1986),

[2] M.C. Carrisi, S.Pennisi: The macroscopic approach to extended thermodynamics with 14 moments, up to whatever order. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **34**, No.3, p.407, (2007)

[3] Liu, I-S.: On the structure of balance equations and extended field theories of mechanics. IL NUOVO CIMENTO, **92B**, 121 (1986)

[4] S. Pennisi, T. Ruggeri: A new method to exploit the entropy principle and galilean invariance in the macroscopic approach of extended thermodynamics, Ricerche di Matematica, Springer, **55**, p.319 (2006)

[5] M.C. Carrisi, S. Pennisi: The galilean relativity principle for a new kind of systems of balance equations in Extended Thermodynamics. Proceedings of 4th International Conference of Applied Mathematics and Computing Plovdiv (Bulgaria), August 2007, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics

[6] M.C. Carrisi, S. Pennisi, A. Scanu: An Exact Macroscopic Extended Model with Many Moments. Proceedings of 4th International Conference of Applied Mathematics and Computing Plovdiv (Bulgaria), August 2007, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics

[7] I-Shih Liu. Method of Lagrange multipliers for exploitation of the entropy principle. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **46** (1972)

[8] S. Pennisi, Some representation theorems in a 4-dimensional inner product space, Suppl. B.U.M.I., Fisica Matematica, **5**, 191 (1986),

[9] S. Pennisi, M. Trovato, Mathematical Characterization of functions underlying the principle of relativity, Le Matematiche, XLIV, 173 (1989),

[10] F. Borghero, F. Demontis, S. Pennisi, The non-relativistic limit of relativistic extended thermodinamics with many moments. Part I: The balance equations. Proceedings of Wascom 2005, 13th conference on waves and stability in continuous media, Acireale (Catania), 19-25 giugno 2005, pag.47

[11] M.C.Carrisi, F. Demontis, S. Pennisi, The non-relativistic limit of relativistic extended thermodinamics with many moments. Part II: How it includes the mass, momentum and energy conservation. Proceedings of Wascom 2005, 13th conference on waves and stability in continuous media, Acireale (Catania), 19-25 giugno 2005, pag.95.

[12] Dreyer W., Weiss W., "The classical limit of relativistic extended thermodynamics". Annales de l' Institut Henri Poincaré **45** (1986).

[13] I. Müller, T. Ruggeri. Rational Extended Thermodynamics, second Edition Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin Heidelberg.